

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ROSENMAN & COLIN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10022-2585

COPY MAILED

MAY 2 2 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Yoshitoshi Kurose et al. Application No. 09/989,866

Filed: November 19, 2001

Attorney Docket No. FUJO19.189

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ filed February 7, 2006, to revive the above identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the Final Office Action mailed July 21, 2005. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying Final Office Action. No extensions of time having been filed, the application became abandoned October 24, 2005. The instant petition and this decision precede the mailing of the Notice of Abandonment.

In response to the Final Office Action mailed July 21, 2005, petitioner has submitted a

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

^{. (2)} the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the submission required under 37 CFR 1.114.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 2154 for processing of the RCE and submission.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571)-272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions